

Leicestershire and Rutland Safeguarding Adults Board Business Development Plan 2018-19

Priority: SAB1 Prevention of Safeguarding Need

Priority Statement: Prevention of Safeguarding need through building resilience and self-awareness in adults with care and support needs.

Rationale:

Prevention is key in reducing harm and fear of harm, improving safety and quality of life

Early intervention can reduce pressure on higher level, higher cost services.

Scoping work has found practitioners across agencies are keen to support prevention and tools are often in place, but not used as effectively as they could to prevent safeguarding need.

Services that support prevention of safeguarding harm could be better understood and engaged in safeguarding adults.

Effective transition from children's services, such as Looked After Children, Children on Child Protection Plans, and those affected by CSE, may support prevention of adult safeguarding need.

What do we want to be different?

Partnership Lead: John Marloy PCC

Build resilience in adults with care and support needs

Build self-awareness regarding health and wellbeing and safeguarding risk

The Board is assured that work with young people who have been assessed as requiring additional support to reduce risk and vulnerability (including LAC, CIN, CP, CSE) assists prevention of adult safeguarding need.

Board Officer: Sani Battani

Partnership Lead: John Moriey - RCC		Board Officer: Sanj Pattani				
Key delivery mechanism:						
Objective	What are we going to do?	When is it going to be done by?	Who is responsible?	How will we measure progress and impact?		
Develop Specific options identified by prevention group in 2017/18 (awareness, work with JAGs)	Identify all tools available to support building resilience, self-awareness and preventing adult safeguarding need (including JAGs, VARMs and Transition Boards) Work to develop prevention of adult safeguarding need within these tools. Raise awareness regarding adult safeguarding and abuse.	September 2018	Prevention Task and Finish group (T & F)	Utilise the scoping report in order to trial with forums such as JAGs Consider links into the Audit Sub-Group for review of tools such as VARM. Consider awareness raising options for both the community and professionals such as information sharing events, sharing resources and promotion.		

Housing providers are appropriately involved in the work of the SAB	Link with housing provider forums. Use ADASS MSP guidance for housing providers as a starting point for conversation of Board involvement. Ensure safeguarding is considered in personal prevention plans.		Prevention Task and Finish group	Housing Providers are aware of the forums/tools that support Safeguarding prevention – assurance to be provided via provider representation at the Prevention T & F and identify actions to be taken forward. Review agency awareness of the "Prevention Duty" – scoping via identified agencies within the Prevention Sub-group.
Be assured that the needs of young people requiring additional support into adulthood, including LAC, CIN, CP, CSE are reviewed and supported in a timely preventative way through that transition.	Meaningful Joint working should be promoted at an earlier stage before 18 th birthday. Raise awareness regarding adult safeguarding/abuse and services/pathways available to support children and families which may reduce risk and suggest modifications to enable the objective.	October 2018	Prevention Task and Finish group	Link to learning from sub- groups such as trilogy of risk T & F, LSCB sub- groups. Joint learning and development between Adults/Children's services. Ensure that young people at risk are recognised in forums such as JAGs.
Education and Training with children's services	Joint training should be identified and promoted which looks at learning from research, regulatory standards and relevant legislation on prevention. Identify learning from SCR/SAR to promote an integrated approach to safeguarding adult's prevention across all agencies. Consider promotion at provider level with an aim of preventing abuse and neglect occurring in Care Homes and within domiciliary care provisions.	October 2018	Prevention Task and Finish group	Scope best practice/training currently available with a prevention focus (via the Prevention T & F) to identify any potential gaps and feedback areas for improvement into relevant agencies L and D groups/teams. Joint workshops/peer learning from SCR/SARs. Build links with Provider forums and QA Services.

Priority: SAB2 Mental Capacity

Priority Statement: Improve the understanding of capacity to consent and the application of the Mental Capacity Act across agencies.

Rationale:

- Practitioner feedback suggests there is a lack of confidence across agencies in understanding and assessing capacity to consent.
- Safeguarding Adults Reviews (SARs) and other reviews have identified the use of the term 'lack of capacity' as a generic statement, without reference to specific decisions, which is inaccurate and unhelpful.
- Consistency in the application of the Mental Capacity Act could be improved across agencies.

What do we want to be different?

- The Mental Capacity Act is applied with relevance and consistency within partner agencies
- Across agencies there is increased understanding of and confidence in the undertaking of Mental Capacity Assessments in relation to 'consent' and decision making
- The workforce has improved understanding of the resources available to support the service user participating in informed 'decision' making.
- The Board is assured of effective application of the Mental Capacity Act across agencies

Partnership Lead: Rachel Garton -	CCG	Board Officer: Hele	n Pearson	
Key delivery mechanism:				
Objective	What are we going to do?	When is it going to be done by?	Who is responsible?	How will we measure progress and impact?
The workforce across agencies has greater understanding of the principles and responsibilities under the Mental Capacity Act and its relevance to Safeguarding Adults.	Through a variety of methods/media we will reinforce the principles and responsibilities under the Mental Capacity Act (See also Objective 2) Stage 1 Set up Task and Finish Group to agree the scope/objectives and allocate tasks Stage 2 Deliver on agreed objectives (see also Objective 2) Stage 3 Assess Progress and impact (see Objective 3)	End of May 2018 March 2019 Feb/March 2018	The Task and Finish Group will provide oversight. Tasks may be delegated to existing groups. Links will be made with the City SAB to agree LLR work streams	

$\overline{}$	
ဒ	
بب	

2.The workforce across agencies	Develop partnership guidance to demystify mental			Survey staff confidence	
has increased confidence in	capacity and support effective assessments. This			across agencies.	
undertaking capacity assessments	may include:				
and supported decision making	- Consider development of a toolkit				
	- Communication (including first steps /				
	principles / questions to support assessment)				
	- Multi-Agency Procedures and Practice				
	guidance (e.g. basic steps as above) – included in Adult and Children Safeguarding	March 2019	As Above		
	Procedures	Watch 2019	AS ADOVE		
	- Guidance and awareness regarding use of				
	advocates /interpreters and other				
	communication aids				
	- Learning and Development (Multi-agency				
	workshops with information to cascade within				
	organisations)				
All reports and leaves are backed and are	Ctorre 2			Toot the sounds again file and the	
All partners have embedded an approach to the Mental Capacity	Stage 3			Test through case file audits	
Act that enables staff to confidently	Gain assurance that agencies are effectively	March 2019	As Above	Request specific assurance	<u> </u>
and consistently carry out mental	embedding approaches to assessing mental	Watch 2013	A3 Above	report from agencies on	ယ္ယ
capacity assessments and offer	capacity within their procedures, practice and			their work to embed	•
appropriate support.	learning and development.			approaches to mental	
				capacity.	

Priority: SAB3 Adult Safeguarding Thresholds

Priority Statement: Promote a better and more consistent understanding and use of adult safeguarding thresholds.

Rationale:

- The Threshold guidance was initially a tool for supporting the Local Authority decision making but is now to be used by all referrers however the wider use still needs embedding. This guidance is available via the Multi-Agency Procedures
- Whilst there is a good balance of referral and application of thresholds there were still concerns regarding consistency of use of thresholds across different settings
- Guidance on Section 42 enquiries in health settings has been introduced in 2017/18.
- Work has taken place with providers in other settings regarding their role in Section 42 enquiries.
- The role of independent/private sector in Section 42 enquiries regarding application of thresholds sometimes conflicts with advice given by CQC.

What do we want to be different?

Growing and consistent application of thresholds across all organisations
Assurance that S42 enquiries in health settings are being carried out in line with guidance
Assurance that all service providers are carrying out their role in Section 42 enquiries appropriately.

Partnership Lead: Laura Saunderson - LCC		Board Officer: Chris Tew			
Key delivery mechanism:					
Objective	What are we going to do?	When is it going to be done by?	Who is responsible?	How will we measure progress and impact?	
Develop approaches to support consistent use of thresholds.	Updated procedures produced. Agreed by multi agency partners and published for all staff in agencies across LLR	July 2018	Laura Sanderson and the LLR SAB procedures sub Group	Continue to monitor alerts and enquiries overall and from different sources. Look for reduction in alerts/increase in proportion of alerts that become enquiries.	
				Case file audit of referrals.	
				Document audit of agency referral/incident forms.	

	By liaison with East Midlands Safeguarding Adults Network (EMSAN) ensure consistency across the Region	July 2018	Laura Sanderson as a member of EMSAN	Agency assurance reports on approaches	
	Consider single referral form or common language across agency forms to support consistent application of thresholds. LCC work with care providers and partner agencies	September 2018	Laura Sanderson and the SAB Procedures Group	Board to provide challenge where appropriate. Monitoring as above.	
	Work with CQC to get a better understanding of the roles of commissioners/regulators in safeguarding threshold reporting to ensure the expectations of CQC on providers does not conflict with the requirements of the L.A's across LLR	September 2018	Laura Sanderson and Local Authority partners from Leicester City & Rutland		
Develop understanding and confidence in the use of safeguarding thresholds	Ensure the new procedures and other changes are communicated across LLR partner agencies by utilising • Safeguarding Matters publication and equivalent in City • Single agency communication methods • Highlight in training and awareness events Across LLR	September 2018	Senior agency staff in agencies SAB training group SBBO to ensure in Safeguarding Matters		100
Ensure the work completed has been adopted by agencies and is improving performance	By monitoring performance through SEG data throughout the year	During the year 2018 -19	SEG		
	Sample review of alerts to ensure that they meet safeguarding thresholds and common themes.	During the business year 2018 -19 or early in 2019 - 20 dependent on capacity in Audit plan	SAB Audit Group	Board to provide challenge where appropriate.	

Priority: SAB4 Engagement

Priority Statement: Ensuring the work of the Safeguarding Adults Board is informed by adults with care and support needs

Rationale:

Listening and responding to the wishes of adults with care and support needs should be at the heart of all the Board does.

Whilst work on making safeguarding personal is increasing the response to the wishes of adults with care and support needs within individual safeguarding situations this is not visible at the Board level and there is room for more engagement in the overall work of the Board.

What do we want to be different?

The voice and wishes of adults with care and support needs is clearly influencing the work of the SAB.

Partnership Lead: No lead officer to be appointed	Board Officer: Gary Watts
W -	

- artiforomp zodar no load officer t	o so appointed	Board Officer Cary	TTALLO		
Key delivery mechanism:	Key delivery mechanism:				
Objective	What are we going to do?	When is it going to be done by?	Who is responsible?	How will we measure progress and impact?	
The views of adults with care and support needs are visible at the board	Work with advocacy agencies and existing engagement and participation groups in partner agencies to set up the Board approach for engagement and participation in the priorities and work of the Board.	October 2018	Board Office	The Board's priorities for 2019 onwards are clearly influenced by the views of adults	
	Present an individual adult safeguarding case study at each Board meeting, including key messages from the individual to the Board.	January 2019	Statutory partners' Board members	Case studies presented at each SAB from January 2019. Actions arising from presentations.	
The board has assurance regarding the ongoing effective implementation of making safeguarding personal	Each agency to present to the Board regarding MSP including: Implementation of MSP The impact of MSP in their practice on adults with care and support needs Working in line with the ADASS guidance for their agency	January 2019	Statutory partners' Board members	Improvements in embedding approach seen in data and partners reports. Actions arising from presentation	
	Detailed review of Making Safeguarding Personal data.	January 2019	SEG		